

Appl. No. 10/083,419
Amdt. Dated: August 29, 2005
Reply to Office Action of July 29, 2005

Docket No. CE04956N
Customer No. 23330

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 and 9-14 are cancelled. Claims 7, 8, 15 and 16-21 remain in the application. The actions taken are in the interest of expediting prosecution and with no intention of surrendering any range of equivalents to which Applicants would otherwise be entitled in view of the prior art. Moreover, the amendment or cancellation of claims herein is without prejudice to pursuing claims of different scope by way of continuing Application. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

U.S.C. 103

Claims 1-4 and 9-12 are rejected under U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Morikawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,898,829) in view of Bishop et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,823,256). Claims 5-6 and 13-14 are rejected under U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Morikawa in view of Bishop et al. and in further view of Goodwin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,654,256). Claims 1-6 and 9-14 have been cancelled, rendering this rejection moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-8 and 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 7 and 15 have been rewritten as such. Claims 17-21 are allowable over the cited art.

Prior Art

The references cited but not relied upon are believed not to anticipate or make obvious Applicants' invention.

Appl. No. 10/083,419
Amdt. Dated: August 29, 2005
Reply to Office Action of July 29, 2005

Docket No. CE04956N
Customer No. 23330

Summary

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Accordingly, this application is believed to be in proper form for allowance and an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Please charge any fees associated herewith, including extension of time fees, to 502117, Motorola, Inc.


Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number 23330

By:



Kevin D. Wills
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 43,993
Telephone: 480-732-5364
Fax No.: 480-732-2402